It's all about Balance: Using a watershed model to evaluate costs, benefits and trade-offs for Monponsett Ponds watershed



 Detenbeck, N.E.¹, V. Zoltay², I. Morin², A. Morrison³, T. Garrigan⁴, J. LeClair⁴, R. Abele⁴, and M.B. ten Brink¹

- ¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Atlantic Ecology Division, Narragansett, RI
 - ²Abt Associates, Inc., Cambridge, MA
 - ³ORISE post-doc at US EPA Atlantic Ecology Division
 - ⁴US EPA Region 1, Boston, MA

Issues identified in Monponsett Pond Watershed



- EPA Region 1 reached out to towns in the Taunton River watershed to identify those interested in testing new version of EPA watershed management tool (WMOST)
- Cathy Drinan responded on behalf of Monponsett Pond Watershed Workgroup

Water Quantity

- Outflow from pond to Stump Brook does not resemble natural flow regime and does not support fish migration and the downstream ecosystems
- Brockton water diversions reduce volume, and reverse the flow of water between ponds
- High pond elevation can cause flooding when it rains
- Low pond elevation does not support recreational use
- Monponsett Pond towns have water restrictions, no restrictions in Brockton

Water Quality related

- Phosphorus loads, blue green algae blooms
- Bacteria potential impact of high water levels on septic systems
- Beach closures
- Flow reversal
- Water quality degradation
- No dedicated source of funding for water quality testing for the Ponds



- Accounting tool for water
- Identify goals

1000

- Evaluate integrated management practices
 - Stormwater
 - Drinking water
 - Wastewater
 - Land conservation
- Optimize costs while finding solutions



How much water is there and who is using it?



Notes:

Units are million gallons per day

Values are average annual reported values for 2000 through 2004 as summarized in WMA tool

Private users are households on private well water and users with withdrawals less than 100,000 gallons per day

A. Morrison















WMOST accounts for variation in weather, soils, land-use, and water extractions and returns in affecting the water balance.

What scenarios did we examine?

- Historic weather period covering both wet and dry years (2002 – 2006)
- Ranges in pond level (tradeoff between flooding costs and avail storage for water supply)
- Targets for pond outflow (passage for herring and other fish, cedar swamp protection)
- Timing and magnitude of water diversions from MP to Silver Lake

Which management practices did we consider?

- Water conservation
 - UnAccounted for Water status (leaks)
 - Reduced demand
- Alternative water sources
 - InterBasin Transfers from within Halifax
 - Substitution of Aquaria water source for Brockton
- Green infrastructure best management practices
- <u>Aquifer Storage and Recharge</u>

Green Infrastructure examples

Areas for least cost GI BMP placement for increasing water supply and outflows*

Sand & gravel, high density (322 acres) residential or commercial land (61 acres)

*Other factors may influence final selections

Abt Associates

Tradeoff between available water storage for supply and flooding costs

ige Pond - Lower Reservoir

Hemlock Island

West Lake

Job Island

lalifax

Howard Island

East Lake

Monponsett

© 2015 Google

Chaffin Reservoir Chandler Millpond

omes-SI

Muddy Pond

()

(())

Google earth

• Hemlock Island

West Lake

Job Island

Halifax

52.0 ft elev to Howard Island

106

East Lake

Monponsett

© 2015 Google

Mongo

N)

Stetson Pond

Muddy Pond

Chaffin Reservoir Chandler Millpond

Annes-St

36

Google earth

Stetson Pond

36

Google earth

N)

58 Chandler Millpond Monponsett

Jomes-St

Muddy Pond

e Hemlock Island

West Lake

Job Island

Halifax

1996

52.5 ft elev of Howard Island

106

East Lake

© 2015 Google

Monor

Stetson Pond

36

Google earth

N

58 Chandler Millpond Monponsett

30mes-SI

42°00'35.48" N 70°50'44.08" W elev 52 ft eye alt 14711 ft 🔘

Muddy Pond

e Hemlock Island

West Lake

53.0 ft elev 🕷

None

2015 Google

1716

Imagery Date: 6/6/2015

East Lake

Predicted User Defined Facility (UDF) Damage

Stetson Pond

()

< 🐡 >

36

Google earth

58 Chandler Millpond Monponsett

yomes St

42°00'35.48" N 70°50'44.08" W elev 52 ft eye alt 14711 ft 🔘

Muddy Pond

Hemlock Island

West Lake

53.5 ft elev 🕷

None

2015 Google

Wie!

Imagery Date: 6/6/2015

East Lake

Predicted User Defined Facility (UDF) Damage

• Hemlock Island

West Lake,

54.0 ft elev 😽

Nongo

Wind.

East Lake

Predicted User Defined Facility (UDF) Damage

Stetson Pond

36

Google earth

N

58 Chandler Millpond Monponsett

20mes-SI

Muddy Pond

Imagery Date: 6/6/2015 42º00'35.48" N 70º50'44.08" W elev 52 ft eye alt 14711 ft 🔘

Chaffin Reservoir Chandler Millpond

Aomes-S

42°00'35.48" N 70°50'44.08" W elev 52 ft eye alt 14711 ft 🔘

Stetson Pond

Muddy Pond

0

< 🖤 >

36

Google earth

Hemlock Island

West Lake

54.5 ft elev 🕷

Mose

15 Google

agery Date: 6/6/2015

Monponsett

East Lake

Predicted User Defined Facility (UDF) Damage

Example scenarios at <u>53 ft maximum</u> pond level and cost

- The 25th percentile of historic (unimpacted) streamflows (5.58 cfs) can be maintained with water level range of 52.0 to 53.0 ft if:
 - Halifax demand maintained at 2009-2013 levels
 - Brockton diversions are eliminated entirely
 - Green infrastructure stormwater BMPs are implemented on 14 acres of developed land
 - Infrequent deficits are allowed (3 days, 109 million gallons total)
 - Estimated annual cost*:
 - Water supply: \$483,284 (\$16,526 less than historic 2002-2006 period of record)
 - Flooding (estimated building damages**): \$20,601

* Includes both annualized capital costs and operations and maintenance
 ** Based on generic FEMA flood depth-cost curves in HAZUS

Example scenarios at <u>53.5 ft</u> maximum pond level and cost

- The 25th percentile of historic (unimpacted) streamflows (5.58 cfs) can be maintained with water level range of 52.0 to 53.5 ft if:
 - Halifax demand maintained at 2009-2013 levels
 - Brockton diversions are eliminated entirely
 - Estimated annual cost*:
 - Water supply: \$466,514 (\$33,296 less than historic 2002-2006 period of record)
 - Flooding costs (buildings**): \$84,889

* Includes both annualized capital costs and operations and maintenance
 ** Based on generic FEMA flood depth-cost curves in HAZUS

OR

Example scenarios at <u>53.5 ft</u> maximum pond level and cost

- The 25th percentile of historic (unimpacted) streamflows (5.58 cfs) can be maintained with water level range of 52.0 to 53.5 ft if:
 - Halifax demand maintained at 2009-2013 levels
 - Brockton diversions are maintained at 2009-2013 levels <u>minus</u> the amount required to bring leaks down to Water Mgt Act requirements (reduce UAW by 1.6% to 10%)
 - Green infrastructure stormwater BMPs are implemented on 270 acres of developed land
 - Aquifer Storage and Recharge is implemented (\$\$\$)
 - Estimated annual cost: \$9.6 million

Example scenarios at <u>53.5 ft</u> maximum pond level and cost

- The 25th percentile of historic (unimpacted) streamflows (5.58 cfs) can be maintained with water level range of 52.0 to 53.5 ft if:
 - Halifax demand maintained at 2009-2013 levels
 - Brockton diversions are maintained at 2009-2013 levels minus the 3 MGD capacity of the Aquaria desalination plant to supply water to Brockton
 - Green infrastructure stormwater BMPs are implemented on 53 acres of developed land
 - Some water is transferred to MP within Halifax but from outside the MP watershed ("interbasin transfer")
 - Infrequent deficits occur (2 days, 50.6 million gallons total)
 - Estimated annual cost:
 - Water supply: \$529,645 (\$28,469 more than historic 2002-2006 period of record)
 - Flooding costs (buildings**): \$84,889

Summary

- The Monponsett Ponds system is highly constrained, with a very tight operating range
- Competing water uses generate tradeoffs
- 25th percentile of unimpaired flows to Stump Brook could be met (but with occasional water deficits) based on historic climate period with wet and dry years for pond level range of 52 to 53.0 or 53.5 ft
- Green infrastructure stormwater BMPs a common least-cost solution in scenarios tested

- Consider effect of management actions on water <u>quality</u> as well as quantity
- Need for a <u>regional integrated water</u>
 <u>management</u> solution
 - Potential effect of GI BMPs in upper Taunton in moderating flooding costs
 - Potential effect of GI BMPs in upper Taunton on groundwater supply (reducing need for diversions)
- Other tools available to guide implementation
 - EPA BMP siting tool (parcel-specific)
 - OptiTool with WMOST v3 (water quality protection)*

* Available next year

Questions?

Naomi Detenbeck detenbeck.naomi@epa.gov 401-782-3162